

## Japan Friendly to China's Plan

Continued from Page One  
Reef said later that Dr. Koo had explained in detail the situation with regard to China.

**Some of Ten Points New**  
In response to questions concerning how far the ten points presented by the Chinese government to America and Britain were well received with regard to China, Mr. Reef said some of the ten points were well recognized as American policy, some of them were new and had never brought forth an expression, and others would be subject to a technical examination.

It was understood that some of the latter points remained secret of the Conference, according to Mr. Reef.

All those who participated in the morning meeting declined to discuss the proceedings, saying no agreement had been reached that nothing should be said until the official statement was given through Secretary of State.

It was understood that during the discussions the Chinese had agreed to discuss some of her ten points.

A meeting of the secretaries of the delegations to be held later today will determine how much of the proceedings of today will be made public in addition to the official communiqué.

On the arrival of the delegations Japan's desire for an increase in the strength of her navy, although so far as known not yet formally presented to the secretaries Naval Committee of the five powers, had become the dominant point of view of general discussion in Conference.

With the American delegation adhering to the principle of equality, the naval ratio for Japan was arrived at in the proportion of one and one-half to one, the most tightly drawn issue of the Conference.

**Another World Conference Forecast**

If the negotiations were to go on armament would be increased further, and a further conference would be held with scope to revise the rules of behavior of all the world.

Understanding on submarine warfare, the use of submarines and a general complement to any agreement on armament limitation, and that power to impose legal obligations for the five Powers to effect without endorsement generally by all the nations.

The first rate of confidence exists for themselves it is suggested might easily put the five Powers at a disadvantage in case of war with a nation which has not agreed to the new regulations. Any attempt at that might be to the rules for all the world, would be a movement beyond the province of the Conference.

Such a suggestion, or with intimation from high official quarters that the nations not represented here will be given a chance to participate in any armament agreements that may be reached. It has long been felt that the first task of the Conference should be to find an understanding whether the Powers in the Conference could, for the sake of facilitating action.

**One Step Yet in Warships Rules**  
Thus far the Conference has taken no steps to bring the subject of rules of warfare to the front, probably it agrees on the tentative agenda ahead of the subject of land armaments, which is to be considered at Monday's plenary session. When it does come up it probably will go to a special commission, whose finding must be before the matter can be passed on to a large Conference for translation into agreement.

**WAR SUBMARINES WON'T BE SCRAPPED**

Washington, Nov. 19.—By A. P. reef. Submarines are certain to figure largely in discussions of naval experts of the "Big Five" countries in progress, but is one feature of the American proposal which the British have indicated seems to have been accepted, still finds its place in agreement that submarine laws will be determined in naval warfare in 2020, more general plan, and that the **Scapa** proposal to abandon this will be advanced.

There may be some effort to limit the size of future submarines, but the limit agreed on is not so narrow as to rule out the use of submarines in operations on the high seas.

Many experts believe that the **Scapa** proposal to submit to the British for adoption, and not to naval experts.

Investigation does not disclose that there is a difference of view between American and British naval officers as to the important influence on fleet operations under-water craft exercise. It is the use made by Germany of her submarines that is to be repudiated by civilized thought, not the weapon itself, the experts assert.

In the American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out. It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

It has been argued here that German employment of their U-boats did not realize the full possibilities of these craft.

Submarine warfare against unarmed merchant craft as the Germans practiced it, is another question. That, of course, is a matter to be determined in considering the rules for submarine warfare.

The American viewpoint the whole question of legitimate use of submarines does not seem to be settled out.

## Ended Court Probe to Save Payrolls

Continued from Page One  
budget," said Mr. Devlin. "The budget for salaries of the Municipal Court in 1916 was \$208,280. For 1921 it was \$80,000. In other words, in six years there has been an increase of more than 800 per cent."

"In the budget last year there were 229 probation officers. When Mr. Reoper and myself made the personal inquiry of the heads of the departments, most of whom I believe are honest, efficient and conscientious men, it was found that only seventy probation officers were

but he justified it by the good work being done and because such things had to be done to get appropriations for the necessary and desirable work."

"A pretty fair statement of the ethics principle in ethics that one is justified in doing something which is good might be made. As a result of that meeting and the communion that had been made in the community Judge Brown invited the City Club to make an investigation. Not being equipped for that purpose the club asked the Bureau of Municipal Research to undertake it."

"As the chief use of the probation officers is in the department, the apologists of the court were hard put to it to explain the other 229. Finally the chief clerk was thrown back upon the excuse that the positions were misnamed."

"A natural inquiry is, 'Why?' This was no excuse for calling an ordinary clerk, janitor, watchman, stenographer or messenger a 'probation officer.' The only explanation is that it was done to hide such obvious positions under a title which it would be difficult to pass judgment on in reading the title of the position."

"We have had a budget made up for the court, prepared by the probate court, which is to be submitted to the court next week. The budget was made up with the old designations, and it was not until the de-

sign of years for ideas."

"This shows, instead of 229 probation officers, only 180 and gives as new titles different cleaners andiffs, investigators, making the 229 total which can be said to be an aggregate with probation officers. Where are the others?"

"What to do with the remaining sixty-four must have been a paper exercise to admit a veteran in such matters as Mr. Morrow. No wonder it took him a full year to make the shuffle. It has been done by dividing up among the judges, the stenographers, investigators, cleaners, porters, etc., and that is what I think the 180 is. And that just exactly fitted into the precise total of last year, \$80,000."

"Surely the head of a political machine needs to have on hand a skillful rook, Judge McNamee of the Municipal Court, appeared before the City Club as Judge Brown's representative to give a statement with reference to the conduct of the trial. Those present were surprised at the admission that made by the Judge that there was undue expenditure in that court."

**BONES OF DOGS INTRODUCED IN TRIAL OF "BLUEBEARD"**

Prosecution Contends Animals Belonged to Alleged Victim

Versailles, Nov. 19.—(By A. P. reef.) The dogs which had been the property of Madame Marguerite, the wife of the accused, were introduced yesterday in the trial of Georges Lautner, accused of the murder of his wife, Sophie.